



Participants -- Atomic Transport/Dense Metallic Systems

Cervi, Mark

Calabro, David

Chellappa, Anland

Chu, Wilson

Damle, Ashok

Edlund, Dave

Emerson, Sean

Goldsmith, Bob

Hamilton, Hugh

Killmeyer, Rich

Krueger, Charles

Kumar, Romesh

Ma, Ed

Matzakos, Andreas N.

Medlin, Will

Mettes, Jacques

Morreale, Bryan

O'Brien, Kevin

Rubenstein, Leon

Schwartz, Joe

NAVSEA

ExxonMobil Research & Engineering

Intelligent Energy (Meso Fuel)

Johnson Matthey Fuel Cells

RTI International

Ida Tech

UT Research Center

CeraMem Corporation

Johnson Matthey

U.S. DOE - NETL

Hy9 Corporation

Argonne National Laboratory

Worcester Polytechnic Institue

Shell International Exploration & Production

University of Colorado

Power & Energy

NFTI

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

Shell Hydrogen

Praxair



Performance Goals

- General Comments
 - □ Targets for membrane AND for module??
 - □ Use metric units
 - □ Define pressure & T conditions (permeate and feed)
 - Cost target best at \$/kg H2 for membrane AND for module
 - Durability includes meantime between failure, O&M costs (\$/kg H2)
 - □ H2 purity targets of 99.999% -- really necessary? -- what contaminants can you live with?
 - □ Define contaminants + partial pressure

v

Technology Options – Atomic Transport/Dense Metallic Systems

- Pd alloy membranes
 - Free standing foils
 - Physical composites
 - Chemical composites
- Pd coated metals
- Process intensification
 - Membrane plus reactor



Barrier Categories-- Atomic Transport/Dense Metallic Systems

- Membrane Materials
- Supports
- Module Construction
- Module Testing
- Process Intensification
- Systems Analysis



Membrane Materials

- Lack of optimal fabrication methods
- Inadequate membrane durability for commercial applications
- Lack of understanding of novel alloys and impact on diffusivity and flux
- Lack of understanding of microstructural evolution in operation, and its effect on permeance and selectivity
- Need to identify all contaminants and concentrations in the feed



Membrane Supports

- Lack of low cost support that achieves target operating performance
- Lack of understanding of the impact of the support on thin membrane flux
- Intermetallic diffusion



Module Construction

- Lack of economical, large-scale manufacturing methods
- Lack of sealing and joint technology
- Module design for recyclablity



Module Testing

Lack of benchmark or standard for durability



Systems Analysis

- Lack of comparative studies on alternative system configurations
- High cost of H2 compression



Top Priority R&D Needs – Atomic Transport/Dense Metallic Systems

- Membrane Material
 - □ Develop improved membrane fabrication methods
 - Conduct root cause analysis of degradations and failure mechanisms
 - □ Develop more durable membranes
 - Develop alloy compositions that optimize membrane performance and durability
- Membrane Support
 - Develop compact, low cost support materials and structures that are practical and cost effective
 - Develop improved understanding of inter-relations between membrane and support



Top Priority R&D Needs – Atomic Transport/Dense Metallic Systems

- Module Construction
 - Develop and demonstrate optimized module designs
 - □ Develop large-scale manufacturing methods
- Module Testing
 - Develop standard testing protocols that are application-specific
 - □ Develop gas feedstock specifications that are application specific
- Process Intensification
 - Perform reaction engineering studies to integrate reformer and/or WGS with the membrane
- Systems Analysis
 - Conduct comparative studies on alternative system configurations
 - □ Develop a user-friendly model to conduct scenario analysis



Take-Away Messages

- Multi-disciplinary R&D teams are needed to develop performance membrane systems
 - Teams to develop membranes, supports, and module
 - Teams needed to integrate modules into process system
 - This is a win-win effort!
- Membrane + module is key
- Systems analysis is key
- Process intensification can give us the "home run"